Tóth, Alfréd

1988 "Die rätische Sprache - enträtselt". Eine kritische Stellungnahme zu Andrea Schortas Buchbesprechung. Bündner Monatsblatt 6: 386-395.

Vennemann, Theo

1998 Germania semitica: +plog-/+pleg, +furh-/+farh, +folk-/ flokk, +felh-/+folg-. In: K. Donhauser und L. M. Eichinger, Deutsche Grammatik - Thema in Variationen. Festschrift für Hans-Werner Eroms zum 60. Geburtstag; pp. 245-261. Heidelberg.

Der Kastalische Quell, die Gastein und das Rätische. In: P. Noel Aziz Hanna (Hrsg.), Europa Vasconica; pp. 397-425. Berlin.

"World Anthropologies" and Anthropologies in the World: Three Perspectives

A Review Essay

Aleksandar Bošković

Every scientific "fulfilment" raises new "questions": it asks to be surpassed and outdated (Weber 1946: 138).

Introduction: The One and the Many

The three volumes under review all deal with the contemporary practice of anthropology and social sciences in a global perspective. 1 Obviously, they differ in focus: from the primarily theoretical evaluation of "Western social theory's seeming exhaustion or inadequacy when dealing with ... cross-cultural thinking" (Kurasawa 2004: ix), through critical explorations of four "great traditions" of anthropology (Barth et al.), to the more

general attempt to "explore the diversity of anthro pologies being practiced around the world" today (Ribeiro and Escobar 2006: 1).

Kurasawa's volume explicitly deals with issues of "otherness" (alterity) and difference, while it present implicitly in Ribeiro and Escobar's book (but see Krotz in the same volume 2006: 89). would probably be safe to say that alterity and difference were crucial for the human questioning of different (and potentially threatening) others least from José de Acosta's2 "Historia natural! moral de las Indias" in 1590.3 It would also be sale to say that the quest for understanding others was at the same time defining for the (rarely explicit task of) understanding ourselves, and anthropology has contributed to this since its very beginnings Naturally, there were different traditions and different traditions are different traditions. ferent theories; there were grueling intellectual debates between advocates of the "monogenetic and "phylogenetic" theories in the early 19th cent tury, then there was the issue of the "psychic unity of mankind," so forcefully championed by Bastian and his followers (and Franz Boas was one of them); finally, the issue of the "cultural circles" and the spread of culture and civilization (with Rivers' 1911 address to the Section H the British Association for the Advancement of Science as the defining moment⁴), and many more

¹ Barth, Fredrik, Andre Gingrich, Robert Parkin, and Sydel Silverman: One Discipline, Four Ways. British, German, French, and American Anthropology. With a Foreword by Chris Hann. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005. 406 pp. ISBN 0-226-03829-7. Price: \$ 21.00.

Kurasawa, Fuyuki: The Ethnological Imagination. A Cross-Cultural Critique of Modernity. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2004. 249 pp. (Contradictions, 21). ISBN 0-8166-4239-7. Price: \$ 70.50.

Ribeiro, Gustavo Lins, and Arturo Escobar (eds.): World Anthropologies. Disciplinary Transformations within Systems of Power. Oxford: Berg, 2006. 341 pp. (Wenner-Gren International Symposium Series) ISBN 1-8452-0190-6. Price: \$ 99.95.

² José de Acosta (1539–1600), Spanish Jesuit and at the time of his death Rector of the University of Salamanca spent several years (1571–1576) in South America, two years in Mexico Accounts two years in Mexico. As a result, he published "De natural Novi Orbis et de proporti Novi Orbis et de promulgatione evangelii apud Barbaros (Salamanca, 1588–1589), which was subsequently translated into Spanish. His book h ed into Spanish. His book became an instant bestseller, and it is interesting to note that it is interesting to note that he assumed that the American Indians came from Asia (Marchine) Indians came from Asia (Mongolia) via land - and this was more than a century before D was more than a century before Beringia was "discovered by West Europeans!

³ Of course, it could be argued that the interest in explaining "the Other" produces the "the Other" predates this – going as far back as Herodollus "Histories" in the 5th "Histories" in the 5th century BCE, Diodorus and Pausania in ancient Greece (1.4): See in ancient Greece (Lévi-Strauss 1987: 37), or lbn Klub dun's travel accounts in the 12th dun's travel accounts in the 12th century CE. Lévi-Straus claimed that these accounts claimed that these accounts were not really "anthropoles ical" (or "ethnological") because ical" (or "ethnological") because they did not use critical methodology and comparison to the did not use critical method methodology and comparisons between cultures - preferring mostly to describe there

W. H. R. Rivers in his opening address claimed that change in human societies. in human societies were a direct consequence of the mixtus of peoples and cultures. Here Rivers referred to the works of German ethnologists (Fritz Cart of German ethnologists (Fritz Gräbner and Bernhard Ankell mann, both of whom presented to mann, both of whom presented their groundbreaking papers in Berlin in 1905, in successful and their groundbreaking theory in Berlin in 1905, in support of Leo Frobenius's theory of "cultural circles") who was of "cultural circles"), who were establishing a diffusion model for the development model for the development of cultures. This model would provide a crucial tool for Private provide a crucial tool for Rivers's monumental "The History of Melanesian Society" has ry of Melanesian Society," because as Melanesian culture were "complex" (as they include a Melanesian culture) were "complex" (as they included a mixture of elements