Replication, Scission, and Territory among the Rukuba (Nigeria)*

JEAN-CLAUDE MULLER

Contents:

- I. Outline of Rukuba Social Organization
- II. The Replication Process
 - 1. The Kissaloy Case
 - 2. The Assak Case
 - 3. Other Cases of Replication
- III. The Scission of Ritual Assistant Groups
 - 1. The Kita Iwul Case
 - 2. Other Cases of Breaking Away
 - 3. Replication, Scission, and Modern Administration

This contribution shall deal first with the establishment of new Rukuba villages out of an initial hiving off process from the 'parent' village, and, second, with the scission from the 'parent' village of some groups of people that went to settle within another village's territory.

Rukuba society does not readily fall into one or the other of the main categories of recognized political structures found among agriculturalists in Africa. Rukuba society is neither a society based on lineages (Fortes 1953; MIDDLETON and TAIT 1958), nor a kingdom (Fortes and Evans-Pritchard 1940: passim). Similarly, Rukuba society cannot be put into any of the subsequent categories mentioned by MIDDLETON and TAIT (1958: 3), namely government by age-grades or age-sets or government by village councils and/or associations.

^{*} Fieldwork was carried out among the Rukuba mainly between January 1964 to August 1967 while the author was employed by UNESCO in Jos. A second stay lasting from May to August 1968 was funded by the University of Rochester, N. Y., and a third period of fieldwork, from November 1971 to February 1972, was financed by the Canada Council.